

**From:** jezra  
**To:** [CA Broadband Council](#)  
**Subject:** Broadband Service in California  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 15, 2020 12:22:29 PM

---

When it comes to determining where broadband service is currently being provided, data from ISP filings should never be considered factual unless the data is coming from the ISPs billing department and represents real customers with real deployments of service. All other data should be considered suspect unless audited by a 3rd party.

For example: AT&T will gladly tell you, the CPUC, and the FCC that their CAF-II funded Fixed Wireless service was deployed to my neighborhood and that there are 5 deployments at my address. In truth, I do not have 5 AT&T receivers on my roof, because AT&T never invested in infrastructure capable of providing service to my neighborhood. I do however, have a HughesNet dish on my roof; which means I can not participate in online meetings for work or school or with my primary care physician.

Any plan that perpetuates the policy of giving public funds to private companies to build private infrastructure, and doesn't include a punishment for failing to provide service, is going to result in happy Wall St investors, while doing nothing to actually close the Digital Divide.

Jezra